arXiv:1511.02829v1 [math.CO] 9 Nov 2015

NEW HOOK-CONTENT FORMULAS FOR STRICT PARTITIONS

GUO-NIU HAN AND HUAN XIONG

Abstract. We introduce the difference operator for functions defined on strict partitions and prove a polynomiality property for a summation involving the hook length and content statistics. As an application, several new hook-content formulas for strict partitions are derived.

1. Introduction

The basic knowledge on partitions, Young tableaux and symmetric functions could be found in [16]. In this paper, we focus on strict partitions. A *strict partition* is a finite strict decreasing sequence of positive integers $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_\ell)$. The integer $|\lambda| = \sum_{1\leq i\leq \ell} \lambda_i$ is called the *size* of the partition λ and $\ell(\lambda) = \ell$ is called the *length* of λ. For convenience, let $\lambda_i = 0$ for $i > l(\lambda)$. A strict partition λ could be identical with its shifted Young diagram, which means that the i-th row of the usual Young diagram is shifted to the right by i boxes. Therefore the leftmost box in the i-th row has coordinate $(i, i+1)$. For the (i, j)-box in the Young diagram of the strict partition λ, we can associate its *hook length*, denoted by $h_{(i,j)}$, which is the number of boxes exactly to the right, or exactly below, or the box itself, plus λ_j. For example, consider the box $\square = (i, j) = (1, 3)$ in the shifted Young diagram of the strict partition $(7, 5, 4, 1)$. There are 1 and 5 boxes below and to the right of the box $\square$ respectively. Since $\lambda_j = 4$, the hook length of $\square$ is equal to $1+5+1+4 = 11$, as illustrated in Figure 1. The *content* of $\square = (i, j)$ is defined to be $c_\square = j - i$, so that the leftmost box in each row has content 1. Also, let $\mathcal{H}(\lambda)$ be the multi-set of hook lengths of boxes and H_λ be the product of all hook lengths of boxes in λ.

Our goal is to find some hook-content formulas for *strict partitions*, by analogy with that for *traditional partitions*. For the traditional partition ν, it is well known that (see [2, 7, 16])

$$f_\nu = \frac{|\nu|!}{H_\nu} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \frac{1}{n!}\sum_{|\nu|=n} f_\nu^2 = 1, \tag{1.1}$$

where H_ν denotes the product of all hook lengths of boxes in ν and f_ν denotes the number of standard Young tableaux of shape ν. The first author conjectured [3] that

$$P(n) = \frac{1}{n!}\sum_{|\nu|=n} f_\nu^2 \sum_{\square\in\nu} h_\square^{2k}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05A15, 05A17, 05A19, 05E05, 05E10, 11P81.

Key words and phrases. strict partition, hook length, content, shifted Young tableau, difference operator.

hook *content*

12	11	8	7	5	4	1
	9	6	5	3	2	
		5	4	2	1	
			1			

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	1	2	3	4	5	
		1	2	3	4	
			1			

FIGURE 1. The Young diagram of the strict partition $(7,5,4,1)$ and the hook lengths of corresponding boxes.



FIGURE 2. The Young diagram of the skew strict partition $(7,5,4,1)/(4,2,1)$.

is always a polynomial of n for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, which was generalized and proved by Stanley [14], and later generalized in [6] (see also [4], [10] and [11]).

For two strict partitions λ and μ, we write $\lambda \supseteq \mu$ if $\lambda_i \geq \mu_i$ for any $i \geq 1$. In this case, the skew strict partition λ/μ is identical with the skew shifted Young diagram. For example, the skew strict partition $(7,5,4,1)/(4,2,1)$ is represented by the white boxes in Figure 2. Let f_λ (resp. $f_{\lambda/\mu}$) be the number of standard shifted Young tableaux of shape λ (resp. λ/μ). The following are well-known formulas (see [1, 13, 17]) analogous to (1.1):

$$f_\lambda = \frac{|\lambda|!}{H_\lambda} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \frac{1}{n!}\sum_{|\lambda|=n} 2^{n-\ell(\lambda)} f_\lambda^2 = 1. \tag{1.2}$$

In this paper, we generalize the latter equality of (1.2) by means of the following results.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that Q is a given symmetric function, and μ is a given strict partition. Then*

$$P(n) = \sum_{|\lambda/\mu|=n} \frac{2^{|\lambda|-|\mu|-\ell(\lambda)+\ell(\mu)} f_{\lambda/\mu}}{H_\lambda} Q\Big(\binom{c_\square}{2} : \square \in \lambda\Big)$$

is a polynomial in n, where $Q(\binom{c_\square}{2} : \square \in \lambda)$ means that $|\lambda|$ of the variables are substituted by $\binom{c_\square}{2}$ for $\square \in \lambda$, and all other variables by 0.

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose that k is a given nonnegative integer. Then*

$$\sum_{|\lambda|=n} \frac{2^{|\lambda|-\ell(\lambda)} f_\lambda}{H_\lambda} \sum_{\square\in\lambda} \binom{c_\square + k - 1}{2k} = \frac{2^k}{(k+1)!}\binom{n}{k+1}.$$

When $k = 0$ we derive the latter identity of (1.2). When $k = 1$, Theorem 1.2 becomes

$$\sum_{|\lambda|=n} \frac{2^{|\lambda|-\ell(\lambda)} f_\lambda}{H_\lambda} \sum_{\square\in\lambda} \binom{c_\square}{2} = \binom{n}{2},$$

which could also be obtained by setting $\mu = \emptyset$ in the next theorem.

Theorem 1.3. *Let μ be a strict partition. Then,*

$$\sum_{|\lambda/\mu|=n} \frac{2^{|\lambda|-\ell(\lambda)-|\mu|+\ell(\mu)} f_{\lambda/\mu} H_\mu}{H_\lambda} \Big(\sum_{\square\in\lambda} \binom{c_\square}{2} - \sum_{\square\in\mu} \binom{c_\square}{2}\Big) = \binom{n}{2} + n|\mu|. \tag{1.3}$$

The proofs of those theorems are given in Section 4, by using the difference operator technique.

2. Difference operators

For each strict partition λ, the symbol λ^+ (resp. λ^-) always represents a strict partition obtained by adding (resp. removing) a box to (resp. from) λ. In other words, $|\lambda^+/\lambda| = 1$ and $|\lambda/\lambda^-| = 1$. By analogy with the difference operator for traditional partitions introduced in [6], we define *the difference operator for strict partitions* by

$$D\big(g(\lambda)\big) := \sum_{\ell(\lambda^+)>\ell(\lambda)} g(\lambda^+) + 2 \sum_{\ell(\lambda^+)=\ell(\lambda)} g(\lambda^+) \ - \ g(\lambda),$$

where λ is a strict partition and g is a function of strict partitions. Notice that $\#\{\lambda^+ : \ell(\lambda^+) > \ell(\lambda)\} = 0$ or 1.

For each skew strict partition λ/μ, let $f'_{\lambda/\mu} := 2^{|\lambda|-|\mu|-\ell(\lambda)+\ell(\mu)} f_{\lambda/\mu}$.

Lemma 2.1. *For two different strict partitions $\lambda \supseteq \mu$ we have*

$$f'_{\lambda/\mu} = \sum_{\substack{\lambda^-:\ \lambda\supseteq\lambda^-\supseteq\mu \\ \ell(\lambda^-)<\ell(\lambda)}} f'_{\lambda^-/\mu} + 2 \sum_{\substack{\lambda^-:\ \lambda\supseteq\lambda^-\supseteq\mu \\ \ell(\lambda^-)=\ell(\lambda)}} f'_{\lambda^-/\mu}.$$

Proof. By the construction of standard shifted Young tableaux we have

$$f_{\lambda/\mu} = \sum_{\lambda\supseteq\lambda^-\supseteq\mu} f_{\lambda^-/\mu}$$

and therefore

$$2^{|\lambda|-\ell(\lambda)} f_{\lambda/\mu} = \sum_{\substack{\lambda^-:\ \lambda\supseteq\lambda^-\supseteq\mu \\ \ell(\lambda^-)<\ell(\lambda)}} 2^{|\lambda^-|-\ell(\lambda^-)} f_{\lambda^-/\mu} + 2 \sum_{\substack{\lambda^-:\ \lambda\supseteq\lambda^-\supseteq\mu \\ \ell(\lambda^-)=\ell(\lambda)}} 2^{|\lambda^-|-\ell(\lambda^-)} f_{\lambda^-/\mu}.$$

Then by the definition of $f'_{\lambda/\mu}$ we prove the claim. □

Lemma 2.2. *For each strict partition μ and each function g of strict partitions, let*

$$A(n) := \sum_{|\lambda/\mu|=n} f'_{\lambda/\mu} g(\lambda)$$

and

$$B(n) := \sum_{|\lambda/\mu|=n} f'_{\lambda/\mu} Dg(\lambda).$$

Then

$$A(n) = A(0) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} B(k).$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
A(n+1)-A(n) &= \sum_{|\gamma/\mu|=n+1} {f'}_{\gamma/\mu} g(\gamma) - \sum_{|\lambda/\mu|=n} {f'}_{\lambda/\mu} g(\lambda) \\
&= \sum_{|\gamma/\mu|=n+1} \Big(\sum_{\substack{\gamma^-:\, \gamma\supseteq\gamma^-\supseteq\mu \\ \ell(\gamma^-)<\ell(\gamma)}} {f'}_{\gamma^-/\mu} + 2 \sum_{\substack{\gamma^-:\, \gamma\supseteq\gamma^-\supseteq\mu \\ \ell(\gamma^-)=\ell(\gamma)}} {f'}_{\gamma^-/\mu} \Big) g(\gamma) \\
&\quad - \sum_{|\lambda/\mu|=n} {f'}_{\lambda/\mu} g(\lambda) \\
&= \sum_{|\lambda/\mu|=n} {f'}_{\lambda/\mu} \Big(\sum_{\ell(\lambda^+)>\ell(\lambda)} g(\lambda^+) + 2 \sum_{\ell(\lambda^+)=\ell(\lambda)} g(\lambda^+) - g(\lambda) \Big) \\
&= \sum_{|\lambda/\mu|=n} {f'}_{\lambda/\mu} Dg(\lambda) = B(n).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
A(n+1) &= A(n) + B(n) \\
&= A(n-1) + B(n-1) + B(n) \\
&= \cdots \\
&= A(0) + \sum_{k=0}^{n} B(k).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 2.3. *Let g be a function of strict partitions and μ be a given strict partition. Then we have*

$$\sum_{|\lambda/\mu|=n} 2^{|\lambda|-|\mu|-\ell(\lambda)+\ell(\mu)} f_{\lambda/\mu} g(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} D^k g(\mu) \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$D^n g(\mu) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{n+k} \binom{n}{k} \sum_{|\lambda/\mu|=k} 2^{|\lambda|-|\mu|-\ell(\lambda)+\ell(\mu)} f_{\lambda/\mu} g(\lambda). \tag{2.2}$$

In particular, if there exists some positive integer r such that $D^r g(\lambda) = 0$ for every strict partition λ, then the left-hand side of (2.1) *is a polynomial of n with degree at most $r-1$.*

Proof. We will prove (2.1) by induction. The case $n = 0$ is trivial. Assume that (2.1) is true for some nonnegative integer n. Then by Lemma 2.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{|\lambda/\mu|=n+1} {f'}_{\lambda/\mu} g(\lambda) &= \sum_{|\lambda/\mu|=n} {f'}_{\lambda/\mu} g(\lambda) + \sum_{|\lambda/\mu|=n} {f'}_{\lambda/\mu} Dg(\lambda) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} D^k g(\mu) + \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} D^{k+1} g(\mu) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{k} D^k g(\mu).
\end{aligned}$$

Identity (2.2) follows from the Möbius inversion formula [12]. □

Example. Let $g(\lambda) = 1/H_\lambda$. Then $Dg(\lambda) = 0$ by Theorem 3.3. The two quantities defined in Lemma 2.2 are:

$$A(n) = \sum_{|\lambda/\mu|=n} \frac{{f'}_{\lambda/\mu}}{H_\lambda} \qquad \text{and} \qquad B(n) = 0.$$

Consequently,

$$\sum_{|\lambda/\mu|=n} \frac{2^{|\lambda|-|\mu|-\ell(\lambda)+\ell(\mu)} f_{\lambda/\mu}}{H_\lambda} = \frac{1}{H_\mu}. \tag{2.3}$$

In particular, $\mu = \emptyset$ implies

$$\sum_{|\lambda|=n} \frac{2^{|\lambda|-\ell(\lambda)} f_\lambda}{H_\lambda} = 1, \tag{2.4}$$

or equivalently,

$$\sum_{|\lambda|=n} 2^{|\lambda|-\ell(\lambda)} f_\lambda^2 = n!. \tag{2.5}$$

3. Corners of partitions

For a strict partition λ, the *outer corners* are the boxes which can be removed to get a new strict partition λ^-. Let $(\alpha_1, \beta_1), \ldots, (\alpha_m, \beta_m)$ be the coordinates of outer corners such that $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \cdots > \alpha_m$. Let $y_j = \beta_j - \alpha_j$ be the contents of outer corners for $1 \le j \le m$. We set $\alpha_{m+1} = 0$, $\beta_0 = \ell(\lambda) + 1$ and call $(\alpha_1, \beta_0), (\alpha_2, \beta_1), \ldots, (\alpha_{m+1}, \beta_m)$ the *inner corners* of λ. Let $x_i = \beta_i - \alpha_{i+1}$ be the contents of inner corners for $0 \le i \le m$ (see Figure 3). The following relation of x_i and y_j are obvious.

$$x_0 = 1 \le y_1 < x_1 < y_2 < x_2 < \cdots < y_m < x_m. \tag{3.1}$$

Notice that $x_0 = y_1 = 1$ iff $\lambda_{\ell(\lambda)} = 1$.

We define

$$q_k(\lambda) := \sum_{i=0}^{m} \binom{x_i}{2}^k - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \binom{y_i}{2}^k \tag{3.2}$$

for each $k \ge 0$. For each partition $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots, \nu_\ell)$ we define the function $q_\nu(\lambda)$ of partitions by

$$q_\nu(\lambda) := q_{\nu_1}(\lambda) q_{\nu_2}(\lambda) \cdots q_{\nu_\ell}(\lambda). \tag{3.3}$$

First we consider the difference between the hook length sets of λ and $\lambda^+ = \lambda \bigcup \{\square\}$ for some box $\square$.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that $\lambda^+ = \lambda \bigcup \{\square\}$ such that $c_\square = x_i$. If $i = 0$, then*

$$\frac{H_\lambda}{H_{\lambda^+}} = \frac{\prod_{1 \le j \le m} \left(\binom{x_0}{2} - \binom{y_j}{2} \right)}{\prod_{1 \le j \le m} \left(\binom{x_0}{2} - \binom{x_j}{2} \right)}.$$

If $1 \le i \le m$, *then*

$$\frac{H_\lambda}{H_{\lambda^+}} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\prod\limits_{1\le j\le m} \left(\binom{x_i}{2} - \binom{y_j}{2}\right)}{\prod\limits_{\substack{0\le j\le m \\ j\ne i}} \left(\binom{x_i}{2} - \binom{x_j}{2}\right)}.$$

Proof. First we consider the case $i = 0$, which means that $y_1 \ge 2$. To consider the difference between the hook length sets of λ and λ^+, we just need to consider the



FIGURE 3. The Young diagram of a strict partition, where $x_i (0 \le i \le m)$, $y_j (1 \le j \le m)$ are the contents of inner and outer corners. $h_k (1 \le k \le 39)$ are hook lengths of the corresponding boxes, and

$$\begin{aligned}
&h_1 = x_i - y_i, && h_2 = x_i - x_{i-1} - 1, && h_3 = x_i - y_2,\\
&h_4 = x_i - x_1 - 1, && h_5 = x_i - y_1, && h_6 = x_i - 1,\\
&h_7 = x_i + y_1 - 1, && h_8 = x_i + x_1 - 2, && h_9 = x_i + y_2 - 1,\\
&h_{10} = x_i + x_{i-1} - 2, && h_{11} = x_i + y_i - 1, && h_{12} = 2x_i - 3,\\
&h_{13} = x_i + y_{i+1} - 1, && h_{14} = x_i + x_{i+1} - 2, && h_{15} = x_i + y_m - 1,\\
&h_{16} = x_i + x_m - 1, && h_{17} = y_{i+1} - x_i, && h_{18} = x_{i+1} - x_i - 1,\\
&h_{19} = y_m - x_i, && h_{20} = x_m - x_i - 1, && h_{21} = y_1 - 1,\\
&h_{22} = x_1 - 2, && h_{23} = y_2 - 1, && h_{24} = x_{i-1} - 2,\\
&h_{25} = y_i - 1, && h_{26} = x_i - 2, && h_{27} = y_{i+1} - 1,\\
&h_{28} = x_{i+1} - 2, && h_{29} = y_m - 1, && h_{30} = x_m - 2,\\
&h_{31} = y_1, && h_{32} = x_1 - 1, && h_{33} = y_2,\\
&h_{34} = x_{i-1} - 1, && h_{35} = y_i, && h_{36} = y_{i+1},\\
&h_{37} = x_{i+1} - 1, && h_{38} = y_m, && h_{39} = x_m - 1.
\end{aligned}$$

hook lengths of boxes which are in the same column with $\square$, or the column one unit left. The hook lengths of other boxes don't change (see Figure 3). Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}(\lambda)\setminus\mathcal{H}(\lambda^+) &= \{y_1, y_1-1, y_2, y_2-1, \cdots, y_m, y_m-1\}\\ &\quad\setminus\{1, x_1, x_1-1, x_2, x_2-1, \cdots, x_m, x_m-1\},\end{aligned}$$

which means that

$$\frac{H_\lambda}{H_{\lambda^+}} = \frac{\prod\limits_{1\le j\le m} y_j(y_j-1)}{\prod\limits_{1\le j\le m} x_j(x_j-1)} = \frac{\prod\limits_{1\le j\le m}\left(\binom{x_0}{2}-\binom{y_j}{2}\right)}{\prod\limits_{1\le j\le m}\left(\binom{x_0}{2}-\binom{x_j}{2}\right)}$$

since $x_0 = 1$.

Similarly, for the case $1 \le i \le m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}(\lambda)\setminus\mathcal{H}(\lambda^+) = \Big(&\{|x_i-y_j| : 1\le j\le m\}\cup\{x_i+y_j-1 : 1\le j\le m\}\Big)\\ &\setminus\Big(\{1, x_i, 2x_i-2\}\cup\{|x_i-x_j| : 1\le j\le m, j\ne i\}\\ &\quad\cup\{x_i+x_j-1 : 1\le j\le m, j\ne i\}\Big),\end{aligned}$$

which means that

$$\frac{H_\lambda}{H_{\lambda^+}} = \frac{1}{x_i(2x_i-2)}\cdot\frac{\prod\limits_{1\le j\le m}(x_i-y_j)(x_i+y_j-1)}{\prod\limits_{\substack{1\le j\le m\\ j\ne i}}(x_i-x_j)(x_i+x_j-1)} = \frac{1}{2}\cdot\frac{\prod\limits_{1\le j\le m}\left(\binom{x_i}{2}-\binom{y_j}{2}\right)}{\prod\limits_{\substack{0\le j\le m\\ j\ne i}}\left(\binom{x_i}{2}-\binom{x_j}{2}\right)}. \quad \square$$

Suppose that $a_0 < a_1 < \cdots < a_m$ and $b_1 < \cdots < b_m$ are complex numbers. Let

$$q_k(\{a_i\},\{b_i\}) := \sum_{i=0}^{m} {a_i}^k - \sum_{i=1}^{m} {b_i}^k \tag{3.4}$$

for each $k \ge 0$ and

$$q_\nu(\{a_i\},\{b_i\}) := \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} q_{\nu_j}(\{a_i\},\{b_i\}) \tag{3.5}$$

for the partition $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots, \nu_\ell)$. Notice that

$$q_k(\lambda) = q_k\big(\{\binom{x_i}{2}\}_{0\le i\le m}, \{\binom{y_i}{2}\}_{1\le i\le m}\big).$$

Theorem 3.2. *Let k be a nonnegative integer. Then there exist some $\xi_\nu \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that*

$$\sum_{0\le i\le m}\frac{\prod\limits_{1\le j\le m}(a_i-b_j)}{\prod\limits_{\substack{0\le j\le m\\ j\ne i}}(a_i-a_j)}a_i^k = \sum_{|\nu|\le k}\xi_\nu q_\nu(\{a_i\},\{b_i\})$$

for arbitrary complex numbers $a_0 < a_1 < \cdots < a_m$ and $b_1 < b_2 < \cdots < b_m$.

Proof. Let

$$g(z) = \prod_{1\le j\le m}(1-b_jz) - \sum_{0\le i\le m}\frac{\prod\limits_{1\le j\le m}(a_i-b_j)}{\prod\limits_{\substack{0\le j\le m\\ j\ne i}}(a_i-a_j)}\prod_{\substack{0\le j\le m\\ j\ne i}}(1-a_jz).$$

Then for $0 \le i \le m$ we obtain

$$g(\frac{1}{a_i}) = \prod_{1\le j\le m}(1-\frac{b_j}{a_i}) - \frac{\prod\limits_{1\le j\le m}(a_i-b_j)}{\prod\limits_{\substack{0\le j\le m\\ j\ne i}}(a_i-a_j)} \prod_{\substack{0\le j\le m\\ j\ne i}}(1-\frac{a_j}{a_i}) = 0.$$

This means that $g(z)$ has at least $m+1$ roots, so that $g(z)=0$ since $g(z)$ is a polynomial of z with degree at most m. Therefore

$$\sum_{0\le i\le m} \frac{\prod\limits_{1\le j\le m}(a_i-b_j)}{\prod\limits_{\substack{0\le j\le m\\ j\ne i}}(a_i-a_j)} \cdot \frac{1}{1-a_iz} = \frac{\prod_{1\le j\le m}(1-b_jz)}{\prod_{0\le j\le m}(1-a_jz)},$$

which means that

$$\sum_{0\le i\le m} \frac{\prod\limits_{1\le j\le m}(a_i-b_j)}{\prod\limits_{\substack{0\le j\le m\\ j\ne i}}(a_i-a_j)} \big(\sum_{k\ge 0}(a_iz)^k\big) = \exp\big(\sum_{1\le j\le m}\ln(1-b_jz) - \sum_{0\le i\le m}\ln(1-a_iz)\big)$$

$$= \exp\big(\sum_{k\ge 1}\frac{q_k(\{a_i\},\{b_i\})}{k}z^k\big). \tag{3.6}$$

Comparing the coefficients of z^k on both sides, we obtain there exist some $\xi_\nu \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$\sum_{0\le i\le m} \frac{\prod\limits_{1\le j\le m}(a_i-b_j)}{\prod\limits_{\substack{0\le j\le m\\ j\ne i}}(a_i-a_j)} a_i^k = \sum_{|\nu|\le k}\xi_\nu q_\nu(\{a_i\},\{b_i\})$$

for arbitrary complex numbers $a_0 < a_1 < \cdots < a_m$ and $b_1 < b_2 < \cdots < b_m$. □

By (3.6), when $k = 0, 1, 2$, we obtain

$$\sum_{0\le i\le m} \frac{\prod\limits_{1\le j\le m}(a_i-b_j)}{\prod\limits_{\substack{0\le j\le m\\ j\ne i}}(a_i-a_j)} = 1, \tag{3.7}$$

$$\sum_{0\le i\le m} \frac{\prod\limits_{1\le j\le m}(a_i-b_j)}{\prod\limits_{\substack{0\le j\le m\\ j\ne i}}(a_i-a_j)} a_i = q_1(\{a_i\},\{b_i\}), \tag{3.8}$$

$$\sum_{0\le i\le m} \frac{\prod\limits_{1\le j\le m}(a_i-b_j)}{\prod\limits_{\substack{0\le j\le m\\ j\ne i}}(a_i-a_j)} a_i^2 = \frac{q_1^2(\{a_i\},\{b_i\}) + q_2(\{a_i\},\{b_i\})}{2}. \tag{3.9}$$

Let $\lambda^{i+} = \lambda \bigcup \{\square_i\}$ such that $c_{\square_i} = x_i$ for $1 \le i \le m$. If $y_1 > 1$, let $\lambda^{0+} = \lambda \bigcup \{\square_0\}$ such that $c_{\square_0} = x_0 = 1$.

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose that λ is a given strict partition. Then*

$$D(\frac{1}{H_\lambda}) = 0.$$

Proof. Notice that when $y_1 = 1$, we have $\{\lambda^+ : \ell(\lambda^+) > \ell(\lambda)\} = \emptyset$, therefore

$$\sum_{\ell(\lambda^+)>\ell(\lambda)} \frac{H_\lambda}{H_{\lambda^+}} = 0 = \frac{\prod\limits_{1\le j\le m}\left(\binom{x_0}{2} - \binom{y_j}{2}\right)}{\prod\limits_{1\le j\le m}\left(\binom{x_0}{2} - \binom{x_j}{2}\right)}.$$

When $y_1 > 1$, we have $\{\lambda^+ : \ell(\lambda^+) > \ell(\lambda)\} = \{\lambda^{0+}\}$, therefore by Theorem 3.1 we also obtain

$$\sum_{\ell(\lambda^+)>\ell(\lambda)} \frac{H_\lambda}{H_{\lambda^+}} = \frac{\prod\limits_{1\le j\le m}\left(\binom{x_0}{2} - \binom{y_j}{2}\right)}{\prod\limits_{1\le j\le m}\left(\binom{x_0}{2} - \binom{x_j}{2}\right)}$$

and

$$\sum_{\ell(\lambda^+)>\ell(\lambda)} \frac{H_\lambda}{H_{\lambda^+}} + 2\sum_{\ell(\lambda^+)=\ell(\lambda)} \frac{H_\lambda}{H_{\lambda^+}} = \sum_{0\le i\le m} \frac{\prod\limits_{1\le j\le m}\left(\binom{x_i}{2} - \binom{y_j}{2}\right)}{\prod\limits_{\substack{0\le j\le m\\ j\ne i}}\left(\binom{x_i}{2} - \binom{x_j}{2}\right)}.$$

Let $a_i = \binom{x_i}{2}$ and $b_i = \binom{y_i}{2}$ in (3.7), we obtain

$$D\Big(\frac{1}{H_\lambda}\Big) = \frac{1}{H_\lambda}\Big(\sum_{\ell(\lambda^+)>\ell(\lambda)} \frac{H_\lambda}{H_{\lambda^+}} + 2\sum_{\ell(\lambda^+)=\ell(\lambda)} \frac{H_\lambda}{H_{\lambda^+}} - 1\Big) = 0. \qquad \square$$

Corollary 3.4. *Suppose that g is a function of strict partitions. Then*

$$D\Big(\frac{g(\lambda)}{H_\lambda}\Big) = \sum_{\ell(\lambda^+)>\ell(\lambda)} \frac{g(\lambda^+) - g(\lambda)}{H_{\lambda^+}} + 2\sum_{\ell(\lambda^+)=\ell(\lambda)} \frac{g(\lambda^+) - g(\lambda)}{H_{\lambda^+}}$$

for every strict partition λ.

Theorem 3.5. *Let k be a given nonnegative integer and λ be a strict partition. Then, there exist some $\xi_j \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that*

$$q_k(\lambda^{i+}) - q_k(\lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \xi_j \binom{x_i}{2}^j$$

for every strict partition λ.

Proof. Denote by $X = \{x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_m\}$ and $Y = \{y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_m\}$. For $1 \le i \le m$, four cases are to be considered.

(i) If $\beta_i + 1 < \beta_{i+1}$ and $\alpha_{i+1} + 1 < \alpha_i$. Then it is easy to see that the contents of inner corners and outer corners of λ^{i+} are $X \cup \{x_i - 1, x_i + 1\} \setminus \{x_i\}$ and $Y \cup \{x_i\}$ respectively.

(ii) If $\beta_i + 1 = \beta_{i+1}$ and $\alpha_{i+1} + 1 < \alpha_i$, so that $y_{i+1} = x_i + 1$. Hence the contents of inner corners and outer corners of λ^{i+} are $X \cup \{x_i - 1\} \setminus \{x_i\}$ and $Y \cup \{x_i\} \setminus \{x_i + 1\}$ respectively.

(iii) If $\beta_i + 1 < \beta_{i+1}$ and $\alpha_{i+1} + 1 = \alpha_i$, so that $y_i = x_i - 1$. Then the contents of inner corners and outer corners of λ^{i+} are $X \cup \{x_i + 1\} \setminus \{x_i\}$ and $Y \cup \{x_i\} \setminus \{x_i - 1\}$ respectively.

(iv) If $\beta_i + 1 = \beta_{i+1}$ and $\alpha_{i+1} + 1 = \alpha_i$. Then $y_i + 1 = x_i = y_{i+1} - 1$. The contents of inner corners and outer corners of λ^{i+} are $X \setminus \{x_i\}$ and $Y \cup \{x_i\} \setminus \{x_i - 1, x_i + 1\}$ respectively.

For $i = 0$, two cases are to be considered.

(v) If $y_1 = 2$, the contents of inner corners and outer corners of λ^{0+} are X and $Y \cup \{1\} \setminus \{2\}$ respectively.

(vi) If $y_1 > 2$, the contents of inner corners and outer corners of λ^{i+} are $X \cup \{2\}$ and $Y \cup \{1\}$ respectively.

In each of the six cases, we always have

$$q_k(\lambda^{i+}) - q_k(\lambda) = \binom{x_i+1}{2}^k + \binom{x_i-1}{2}^k - 2\binom{x_i}{2}^k. \tag{3.10}$$

Next we have for every real number z,

$$(z+2)^{2k} + (z-2)^{2k} - 2z^{2k} = 2\sum_{1\le j\le k} \binom{2k}{2j} 2^{2j} z^{2k-2j}.$$

Replace z by $2z-1$, we obtain

$$(2z+1)^{2k} + (2z-3)^{2k} - 2(2z-1)^{2k} = 2\sum_{1\le j\le k} \binom{2k}{2j} 2^{2j} (2z-1)^{2k-2j},$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big(8\binom{z+1}{2}+1\Big)^k + \Big(8\binom{z-1}{2}+1\Big)^k - 2\Big(8\binom{z}{2}+1\Big)^k\\ =&2\sum_{1\le j\le k} \binom{2k}{2j} 2^{2j} \Big(8\binom{z}{2}+1\Big)^{k-j}.\end{aligned}$$

Then by induction on k we have

$$\binom{x_i+1}{2}^k + \binom{x_i-1}{2}^k - 2\binom{x_i}{2}^k = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \xi_j \binom{x_i}{2}^j$$

for some constants $\xi_j \in \mathbb{Q}$. We prove the claim. □

By Theorem 3.5 we can show that $D\big(\frac{q_\nu(\lambda)}{H_\lambda}\big)$ could be written as a linear combination of some $\frac{q_\delta(\lambda)}{H_\lambda}$.

Theorem 3.6. *Let $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_\ell)$ be a partition. Then there exist some $\xi_\delta \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that*

$$D\Big(\frac{q_\nu(\lambda)}{H_\lambda}\Big) = \sum_{|\delta|\le|\nu|-1} \xi_\delta \frac{q_\delta(\lambda)}{H_\lambda} \tag{3.11}$$

for every strict partition λ.

Proof. For $0 \le i \le m$, we have

$$\prod_{k=1}^{\ell} q_{\nu_k}(\lambda^{i+}) - \prod_{k=1}^{\ell} q_{\nu_k}(\lambda) = \sum_{(*)} \prod_{k\in U} q_{\nu_k}(\lambda) \prod_{k'\in V} \big(q_{\nu_{k'}}(\lambda^{i+}) - q_{\nu_{k'}}(\lambda)\big), \tag{3.12}$$

where the sum $(*)$ ranges over all pairs (U, V) of positive integer sets such that $U \cup V = \{1, 2, \dots, \ell\}$, $U \cap V = \emptyset$ and $V \ne \emptyset$. By Corollary 3.4 and Theorem 3.1 we have

$$H_\lambda D\Big(\frac{q_\nu(\lambda)}{H_\lambda}\Big) = \sum_{\ell(\lambda^+)>\ell(\lambda)} \frac{H_\lambda\big(q_\nu(\lambda^+) - q_\nu(\lambda)\big)}{H_{\lambda^+}} + 2\sum_{\ell(\lambda^+)=\ell(\lambda)} \frac{H_\lambda\big(q_\nu(\lambda^+) - q_\nu(\lambda)\big)}{H_{\lambda^+}}$$

$$= \sum_{0\le i\le m} \frac{\prod_{1\le j\le m}\big(\binom{x_i}{2}-\binom{y_j}{2}\big)}{\prod_{\substack{0\le j\le m\\ j\neq i}}\big(\binom{x_i}{2}-\binom{x_j}{2}\big)}\Big(\prod_{k=1}^{\ell} q_{\nu_k}(\lambda^{i+}) - \prod_{k=1}^{\ell} q_{\nu_k}(\lambda)\Big)$$

$$= \sum_{(*)} \prod_{k\in U} q_{\nu_k}(\lambda) \sum_{0\le i\le m} \frac{\prod_{1\le j\le m}\big(\binom{x_i}{2}-\binom{y_j}{2}\big)}{\prod_{\substack{0\le j\le m\\ j\neq i}}\big(\binom{x_i}{2}-\binom{x_j}{2}\big)} \prod_{k'\in V}\big(q_{\nu_{k'}}(\lambda^{i+}) - q_{\nu_{k'}}(\lambda)\big).$$

Then the claim follows from Theorems 3.5 and 3.2. □

4. Proofs of Theorems

Instead of proving Theorem 1.1, we prove the following more general result, which implies Theorem 1.1 when $\nu = \emptyset$.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_\ell)$ is a given partition, μ is a given strict partition and Q is a symmetric function. Then there exists some $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$D^r\Big(\frac{Q\big(\binom{c_\square}{2} : \square \in \lambda\big) q_\nu(\lambda)}{H_\lambda}\Big) = 0$$

for every strict partition λ. Consequently,

$$P(n) = \sum_{|\lambda/\mu|=n} \frac{2^{|\lambda|-|\mu|-\ell(\lambda)+\ell(\mu)} f_{\lambda/\mu}}{H_\lambda} Q\Big(\binom{c_\square}{2} : \square \in \lambda\Big) q_\nu(\lambda)$$

is a polynomial of n.

Proof. By linearity we can assume that

$$Q\big(\binom{c_\square}{2} : \square \in \lambda\big) = \prod_{t=1}^{s} \sum_{\square\in\lambda} \binom{c_\square}{2}^{r_t}$$

for some tuple $(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_s)$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} A &= q_\nu(\lambda),\\ \Delta_i A &= q_\nu(\lambda^{i+}) - q_\nu(\lambda),\\ B &= \prod_{t=1}^{s} \sum_{\square\in\lambda} \binom{c_\square}{2}^{r_t},\\ \Delta_i B &= \prod_{t=1}^{s} \sum_{\square\in\lambda^{i+}} \binom{c_\square}{2}^{r_t} - \prod_{t=1}^{s} \sum_{\square\in\lambda} \binom{c_\square}{2}^{r_t}. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\Delta_i A = \sum_{(*)} \prod_{k\in U} q_{\nu_k}(\lambda) \prod_{k'\in V}\big(q_{\nu_{k'}}(\lambda^{i+}) - q_{\nu_{k'}}(\lambda)\big),$$

$$\Delta_i B = \sum_{(**)} \prod_{t\in U} \sum_{\square\in\lambda} \binom{c_\square}{2}^{r_t} \prod_{t'\in V} \Big(\sum_{\square\in\lambda^{i+}} \binom{c_\square}{2}^{r_{t'}} - \sum_{\square\in\lambda} \binom{c_\square}{2}^{r_{t'}} \Big)$$

$$= \sum_{(**)} \prod_{t\in U} \sum_{\square\in\lambda} \binom{c_\square}{2}^{r_t} \prod_{t'\in V} \binom{x_i}{2}^{r_{t'}},$$

where the sum $(*)$ (resp. $(**)$) ranges over all pairs (U,V) of positive integer sets such that $U\cup V=\{1,2,\dots,\ell\}$ (resp. $U\cup V=\{1,2,\dots,s\}$), $U\cap V=\emptyset$ and $V\neq\emptyset$. It follows from Corollary 3.4 and Theorem 3.1 that

$$\begin{aligned}
&H_\lambda D\Big(\frac{q_\nu(\lambda)\prod_{t=1}^s \sum_{\square\in\lambda}\binom{c_\square}{2}^{r_t}}{H_\lambda}\Big)\\
&= \sum_{\ell(\lambda^+)>\ell(\lambda)} \frac{H_\lambda}{H_{\lambda^+}}\Big(q_\nu(\lambda^+)\prod_{t=1}^s\sum_{\square\in\lambda^+}\binom{c_\square}{2}^{r_t} - q_\nu(\lambda)\prod_{t=1}^s\sum_{\square\in\lambda}\binom{c_\square}{2}^{r_t}\Big)\\
&\quad + 2\sum_{\ell(\lambda^+)>\ell(\lambda)} \frac{H_\lambda}{H_{\lambda^+}}\Big(q_\nu(\lambda^+)\prod_{t=1}^s\sum_{\square\in\lambda^+}\binom{c_\square}{2}^{r_t} - q_\nu(\lambda)\prod_{t=1}^s\sum_{\square\in\lambda}\binom{c_\square}{2}^{r_t}\Big)\\
&= \sum_{0\le i\le m} \frac{\prod_{1\le j\le m}\big(\binom{x_i}{2}-\binom{y_j}{2}\big)}{\prod_{\substack{0\le j\le m\\ j\neq i}}\big(\binom{x_i}{2}-\binom{x_j}{2}\big)}\Big(q_\nu(\lambda^{i+})\prod_{t=1}^s\sum_{\square\in\lambda^{i+}}\binom{c_\square}{2}^{r_t} - q_\nu(\lambda)\prod_{t=1}^s\sum_{\square\in\lambda}\binom{c_\square}{2}^{r_t}\Big)\\
&= \sum_{0\le i\le m} \frac{\prod_{1\le j\le m}\big(\binom{x_i}{2}-\binom{y_j}{2}\big)}{\prod_{\substack{0\le j\le m\\ j\neq i}}\big(\binom{x_i}{2}-\binom{x_j}{2}\big)}\ \big(A\cdot\Delta_i B + B\cdot\Delta_i A + \Delta_i A\cdot\Delta_i B\big).
\end{aligned}$$

Then by Theorems 3.2, 3.5, and 3.6 each of the above three terms could be written as a linear combination of some $\prod_{\bar t=1}^{\bar s}\sum_{\square\in\lambda}\binom{c_\square}{2}^{\bar r_{\bar t}} q_{\bar\nu}(\lambda)$ satisfying one of the following two conditions:

(1) $\bar s<s$;
(2) $\bar s=s$ and $|\bar\nu|\le|\nu|-1$.

Then the claim follows by induction on s and $|\nu|$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. The special case of the proof of Theorem 4.1 with $\nu=\emptyset$ and $s=1$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}
H_\lambda D\Big(\frac{\sum_{\square\in\lambda}\binom{c_\square}{2}^{r_1}}{H_\lambda}\Big) &= \sum_{0\le i\le m} \frac{\prod_{1\le j\le m}\big(\binom{x_i}{2}-\binom{y_j}{2}\big)}{\prod_{\substack{0\le j\le m\\ j\neq i}}\big(\binom{x_i}{2}-\binom{x_j}{2}\big)}\ \binom{x_i}{2}^{r_1}\\
&= \sum_{|\nu|\le r_1}\xi_\nu q_\nu(\lambda),
\end{aligned}$$

where ξ_ν are some constants. The last equality is due to Theorem 3.2. Notice that

$$(2k)!\binom{z+k-1}{2k} = 2^k\prod_{i=1}^k\Big(\binom{z}{2}-\binom{i}{2}\Big).$$

Then by Theorems 3.6 and 2.3 we know that

$$P(n) = \sum_{|\lambda|=n}\frac{f'_\lambda}{H_\lambda}\sum_{\square\in\lambda}\binom{c_\square+k-1}{2k}$$

is a polynomial of n with degree at most $k+1$.

On the other hand,

$$P(k+1) = \frac{f'_{(k+1)}}{H_{(k+1)}}\binom{2k}{2k} = \frac{2^k}{(k+1)!}$$

since $(k+1)$ is the only strict partition with size $k+1$ who has contents greater than k. Moreover, It is obvious that $P(0) = P(1) = \cdots = P(k) = 0$. Since the polynomial $P(n)$ is uniquely determined by those values, we obtain $P(n) = \frac{2^k}{(k+1)!}\binom{n}{k+1}$. □

From Theorem 4.1, the left-hand side of (1.3) in Theorem 1.3 is a polynomial of n. To evaluate this polynomial explicitly, we need the following Lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *Let λ be a strict partition. Then*

$$q_1(\lambda) = |\lambda|.$$

Proof. By Figure 3 we have

$$\begin{aligned}|\lambda| &= y_1 + (y_1+1) + \cdots + (x_1 - 1) + \cdots + y_m + (y_m+1) + \cdots + (x_m - 1)\\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{m}\Big(\binom{x_i}{2} - \binom{y_i}{2}\Big) = q_1(\lambda).\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.3. By Corollary 3.4, Theorem 3.1 and identity (3.8) it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}H_\lambda D\Big(\frac{\sum_{\square\in\lambda}\binom{c_\square}{2}}{H_\lambda}\Big) &= \sum_{\ell(\lambda^+)>\ell(\lambda)}\frac{H_\lambda}{H_{\lambda^+}}\Big(\sum_{\square\in\lambda^+}\binom{c_\square}{2} - \sum_{\square\in\lambda}\binom{c_\square}{2}\Big)\\ &\quad + 2\sum_{\ell(\lambda^+)=\ell(\lambda)}\frac{H_\lambda}{H_{\lambda^+}}\Big(\sum_{\square\in\lambda^+}\binom{c_\square}{2} - \sum_{\square\in\lambda}\binom{c_\square}{2}\Big)\\ &= \sum_{0\le i\le m}\frac{\prod_{1\le j\le m}\big(\binom{x_i}{2} - \binom{y_j}{2}\big)}{\prod_{\substack{0\le j\le m\\ j\neq i}}\big(\binom{x_i}{2} - \binom{x_j}{2}\big)}\binom{x_i}{2}\\ &= q_1(\lambda)\\ &= |\lambda|.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}H_\lambda D^2\Big(\frac{\sum_{\square\in\lambda}\binom{c_\square}{2}}{H_\lambda}\Big) &= 1,\\ H_\lambda D^3\Big(\frac{\sum_{\square\in\lambda}\binom{c_\square}{2}}{H_\lambda}\Big) &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Then our claim follows from Theorem 2.3. □

Similarly, by (3.7), (3.8) and (3.9) we have

$$H_\lambda D\Big(\frac{\sum_{\square\in\lambda}\binom{c_\square+1}{4}}{H_\lambda}\Big) = \frac{1}{12}\big(q_2(\lambda) + |\lambda|^2 - 2|\lambda|\big),$$

$$H_\lambda D^2\Big(\frac{\sum_{\square\in\lambda}\binom{c_\square+1}{4}}{H_\lambda}\Big)=\frac{2}{3}|\lambda|,$$
$$H_\lambda D^3\Big(\frac{\sum_{\square\in\lambda}\binom{c_\square+1}{4}}{H_\lambda}\Big)=\frac{2}{3},$$
$$H_\lambda D^4\Big(\frac{\sum_{\square\in\lambda}\binom{c_\square+1}{4}}{H_\lambda}\Big)=0.$$

Thus by Theorem 2.3 we obtain the following result.

Theorem 4.3. *Let μ be a strict partition. Then*

$$\sum_{|\lambda/\mu|=n}\frac{2^{|\lambda|-\ell(\lambda)-|\mu|+\ell(\mu)}f_{\lambda/\mu}H_\mu}{H_\lambda}\Big(\sum_{\square\in\lambda}\binom{c_\square+1}{4}-\sum_{\square\in\mu}\binom{c_\square+1}{4}\Big)$$
$$=\frac{2}{3}\binom{n}{3}+\frac{2}{3}|\mu|\binom{n}{2}+\frac{1}{12}\big(q_2(\mu)+|\mu|^2-2|\mu|\big)n.$$

5. Acknowledgments

The second author thanks Prof. P.-O. Dehaye for the encouragements and helpful suggestions. The second author is supported by grant [PP00P2_138906] of the Swiss National Science Foundation and Forschungskredit [FK-14-093] of the University of Zurich.

References

[1] J. Bandlow, An elementary proof of the Hook formula, *Electron. J. Combin.* **15** (2008), research paper 45.
[2] G.-N. Han, The Nekrasov-Okounkov hook length formula: refinement, elementary proof, extension, and applications, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **60(1)** (2010), 1–29.
[3] G.-N. Han, Some conjectures and open problems on partition hook lengths, *Experimental Mathematics* **18** (2009), 97–106.
[4] G.-N. Han, Hook lengths and shifted parts of partitions, *Ramanujan J.* **23(1-3)** (2010), 127–135.
[5] G.-N. Han and Kathy Q. Ji, Combining hook length formulas and BG-ranks for partitions via the Littlewood decomposition, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **363** (2011), 1041–1060.
[6] G.-N. Han and H. Xiong, Difference operators for partitions and some applications, preprint; `arXiv:1508.00772`.
[7] D. Knuth, *The Art of Computer Programming, Vol. 3: Sorting and Searching*, Addison–Wesley, London, 1973, pp. 54–58.
[8] N. A. Nekrasov and A. Okounkov, Seiberg-Witten theory and random partitions, *The unity of mathematics*, *Progress in Mathematics* **244**, Birkhäuser Boston, 2006, pp. 525–596.
[9] G. Olshanski, Anisotropic Young diagrams and infinite-dimensional diffusion processes with the Jack parameter, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **6** (2010), 1102–1166.

[10] G. Olshanski, Plancherel averages: Remarks on a paper by Stanley, *Electron. J. Combin.* **17** (2010), research paper 43.
[11] G. Panova, Polynomiality of some hook-length statistics, *Ramanujan J.* **27(3)** (2012), 349–356.
[12] Rota, Gian-Carlo, On the foundations of combinatorial theory: I. Theory of Möbius functions, *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete* **2** (1964), 349–356.
[13] I. Schur, Über die Darstellung der symmetrischen und der alternienden Gruppe durch gebrochene lineare Substitutionen, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **139** (1911), 155–250.
[14] R. P. Stanley. Some combinatorial properties of hook lengths, contents, and parts of partitions, *Ramanujan J.* **23(1-3)** (2010), 91–105.
[15] R. P. Stanley, Differential posets, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **1(4)** (1988), 919–961.
[16] R. P. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics*, vol. 2, Cambridge University Press, New York/Cambridge, 1999.
[17] R. M. Thrall, A combinatorial problem, *Michigan Math. J.* **1** (1952), 81–88.

I.R.M.A., UMR 7501, Université de Strasbourg et CNRS, 7 rue René Descartes, F-67084 Strasbourg, France
E-mail address: guoniu.han@unistra.fr

I-Math, Universität Zürich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, Zürich 8057, Switzerland
E-mail address: huan.xiong@math.uzh.ch